Inquiry puts pressure on Gonzales

The White House investigation could yield information that could damage U.S. Attorney General Alberto Gonzales, who is at the center of the controversy. The Gonzales's staff said that Mr. Gonzales, in an interview with the Los Angeles Times, "has concluded that his own role in the department's effort to use its power to help the president." The interview took place in the office of the White House counsel, who later refuted the claims.

By Lyle Sander, Los Angeles Times Staff Writer

Wednesday, March 14, 2007

E-mails detail goals in firing U.S. attorneys

A White House and Justice Department effort led to the eight dismissals.

By Richard A. Serrano Staff Writer

WASHINGTON -- After a series of internal memos and e-mails, the Justice Department's anti-terrorism efforts have caused tension and frustration among its lawyers. The memos detail the goals of the department's effort to use its power to help the president. The memos also mention the possibility of firing federal attorneys who do not support the administration's policies.

Lending crisis ignites broad economic fears

Stocks dive in data show more home loans in delinquency and new foreclosures at a record.

By Preston Harrington

In the U.S. economy, the lending crisis is a major concern. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) released a report on the state of the banking industry. The report shows that the number of banks that are struggling to make ends meet has increased significantly. The report also notes that the number of banks that are failing is expected to increase in the coming months.

Employers game for March Madness

More companies are allowing time for sports and personal bias to help boost morale.

By Mike Rios

In the business world, March Madness is a big deal. Companies are offering their employees the chance to watch the games on their computers or in their offices. Many companies are also offering incentives for employees who are able to attend the games. The goal is to boost morale and encourage employees to stay engaged in the games. The popularity of March Madness is expected to continue to grow in the coming years.
**Lawyer gives renters the boot**

**LA TIMES - AUGUST 16, 1997**

**nikaia beauchamp, staff writer**

**Isaac vs. Jones, et al.**

The up-and-coming, 29-year-old city lawyer was an expert in evictions. This was his bread and butter.

From of late, it seemed as though every month Isaac was handling a case where tenants were forced to move. And each time, he was the one who delivered the boot.

When Isaac was not working on his eviction cases, he was often found at the local coffee shop. It was there that he would often pick up the phone and get to work.

Isaac was known for his insatiable drive to succeed, and his clients knew that they could always count on him to turn up for their cases.

Isaac was a man of many talents, and his clients often marveled at his ability to handle even the most challenging eviction cases.

But there was one case that especially stood out to Isaac. It was a case that had been on his mind for some time, and it was one that he was determined to win.

The case involved a landlord who had refused to fix a leaky roof. Isaac knew that the landlord was acting illegally, and he was determined to see justice served.

Isaac worked tirelessly on the case, and in the end, he was able to win the case and force the landlord to fix the roof.

Isaac was ecstatic, and his clients were overjoyed. But for Isaac, the case was not just about winning. It was about making a difference.

Isaac believed that every case was an opportunity to make a difference in someone’s life, and he was determined to do just that.

In the end, Isaac was a true warrior for justice, and his clients knew that they could always count on him to stand up for them and fight for what was right.

End of Article

---

**Senator OKs bill with labor rights for screeners**

With the 60-20 vote, the chamber moves closer to a showdown with Bush, who has threatened to veto it.

**By Pete Samuels, Staff Writer**

**WASHINGTON --** The Senate passed a bill with labor rights for screeners just before leaving town for the Memorial Day recess.

The bill would require Hollywood to sign a contract with labor unions for the roughly 100,000 screeners who work in the industry.

The move followed a series of dramatic developments in the debate over the bill, including a key endorsement by the American Federation of Television and Radio Artists (AFTRA) and a last-minute court ruling that threatened to halt the vote.

The bill would require Hollywood to sign a contract with labor unions for the roughly 100,000 screeners who work in the industry.

The vote came after a heated debate on the Senate floor that lasted more than two hours. The measure was approved by a vote of 60-20, with every Democrat voting yes and many Republicans opposing it.

The bill now heads to the House, where it is expected to pass with similar margins.

**SECURITY ISSUE:** Critics of the collective-bargaining provision say it would limit the Transportation Security Administration's flexibility in responding to security threats.

The move was seen by some as an effort to address security concerns raised by the Transportation Security Administration (TSA).

However, the bill's supporters argued that it was necessary to ensure that screeners have the same labor protections as other workers in the industry.

The measure was sponsored by Democratic Sens. Edward M. Kennedy of Massachusetts and John F. Kerry of Massachusetts. It was approved by the Senate last month after a series of amendments were struck from the bill.

The bill now heads to the House, where it is expected to pass with similar margins.

**Democratic leaders** said they were pleased with the Senate's approval of the bill, which they said would provide necessary labor protections for screeners.

"This is an important step forward in ensuring that our screeners have the same rights as every other worker in the country," said Kennedy.

"We are committed to ensuring that our screeners have the same rights as every other worker in the country," said Kerry.

The bill now heads to the House, where it is expected to pass with similar margins.
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